119th CONGRESS 1st Session

To prohibit Federal employees and contractors from directing online platforms to censor any speech that is protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. PAUL introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

- To prohibit Federal employees and contractors from directing online platforms to censor any speech that is protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Free Speech Protection

5 Act".

- 6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
- 7 In this Act:

1	(1) COVERED INFORMATION.—The term "cov-
2	ered information" means information relating to—
3	(A) a phone call;
4	(B) any type of digital communication, in-
5	cluding a post on a covered platform, an e-mail,
6	a text, and a direct message;
7	(C) a photo;
8	(D) shopping and commerce history;
9	(E) location data, including a driving route
10	and ride hailing information;
11	(F) an IP address;
12	(G) metadata;
13	(H) search history;
14	(I) the name, age, or demographic infor-
15	mation of a user of a covered platform; and
16	(J) a calendar item.
17	(2) COVERED PLATFORM.—The term "covered
18	platform'' means—
19	(A) an interactive computer service, as
20	that term is defined in section 230(f) of the
21	Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.
22	230(f)); and
23	(B) any platform through which a media
24	organization disseminates information, without

1	regard to whether the organization disseminates
2	that information—
3	(i) through broadcast or print;
4	(ii) online; or
5	(iii) through any other channel.
6	(3) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means
7	the Director of the Office of Management and Budg-
8	et.
9	(4) Employee.—
10	(A) IN GENERAL.—Except where otherwise
11	expressly provided, the term "employee"—
12	(i) means an employee of an Execu-
13	tive agency; and
14	(ii) includes—
15	(I) an individual, other than an
16	employee of an Executive agency,
17	working under a contract with an Ex-
18	ecutive agency; and
19	(II) the President and the Vice
20	President.
21	(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—With re-
22	spect to an individual described in subpara-
23	graph $(A)(ii)(I)$, solely for the purposes of this
24	Act, the Executive agency that has entered into
25	the contract under which the employee is work-

1	ing shall be construed to be the Executive agen-
2	cy employing the employee.
3	(5) EXECUTIVE AGENCY.—The term "Executive
4	agency"—
5	(A) has the meaning given the term in sec-
6	tion 105 of title 5, United States Code; and
7	(B) includes the Executive Office of the
8	President.
9	(6) PROVIDER.—The term "provider" means a
10	provider of a covered platform.
11	SEC. 3. FINDINGS.
12	Congress finds the following:
13	(1) The First Amendment to the Constitution
14	of the United States guarantees—
15	(A) freedoms concerning religion, expres-
16	sion, assembly, and petition of the government;
17	(B) the freedom of expression by prohib-
18	iting the government from restricting the press
19	or the right of an individual to speak freely;
20	and
21	(C) the right of an individual to assemble
22	peaceably and to petition the government.
23	(2) Freedom of speech is an essential element
24	of liberty that restrains tyranny and empowers indi-
25	viduals.

1	(3) Writing in support of a Bill of Rights,
2	Thomas Jefferson stated that "[t]here are rights
3	which it is useless to surrender to the government,
4	and which yet, governments have always been fond
5	to invade. These are the rights of thinking and pub-
6	lishing our thoughts by speaking or writing.".
7	(4) The Supreme Court of the United States
8	(referred to in this section as the "Court") has
9	upheld the right to speak free from governmental in-
10	terference as a fundamental right.
11	(5) The Court, in Palko v. Connecticut, 302
12	U.S. 319 (1937), wrote that freedom of thought and
13	speech "is the matrix, the indispensable condition, of
14	nearly every other form of freedom".
15	(6) In Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v.
16	Federal Communications Commission, 512 U.S. 622
17	(1994), the Court stated the following: "At the heart
18	of the First Amendment lies the principle that each
19	person should decide for himself or herself the ideas
20	and beliefs deserving of expression, consideration,
21	and adherence. Our political system and cultural life
22	rest upon this ideal. Government action that stifles
23	speech on account of its message, or that requires
24	the utterance of a particular message favored by the
25	Government, contravenes this essential right

6

1 [and poses] the inherent risk that Government seeks 2 not to advance a legitimate regulatory goal, but to 3 suppress unpopular ideas or manipulate the public debate through coercion rather than persuasion. 4 5 These restrictions 'rais[e] the specter that the Gov-6 ernment may effectively drive certain ideas or view-7 points from the marketplace.' For these reasons, the 8 First Amendment, subject only to narrow and well-9 understood exceptions, does not countenance govern-10 ment control over the content of messages expressed 11 by private individuals.". (7) In R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 12 13 (1992), the Court explained that the First Amend-

ment to the Constitution of the United States "generally prevents government from proscribing speech,
or even expressive conduct, because of disapproval of
the ideas expressed. Content-based restrictions are
presumptively invalid.".

(8) The case of Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S.
444 (1969), stands for the proposition that speech
can be suppressed only if the speech is intended, and
is likely to produce, imminent lawless action.

(9) Justice William Brennan, in his majority
opinion for the Court in Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S.
397 (1989), asserted that "[i]f there is a bedrock

principle underlying the First Amendment, it is that
 the government may not prohibit the expression of
 an idea simply because society finds the idea itself
 offensive or disagreeable.".

5 (10) Justice Neil Gorsuch, in his majority opin-6 ion for the Court in 303 Creative LLC v. Elenis, 7 600 U.S. 570 (2023), stated, "The First Amend-8 ment envisions the United States as a rich and com-9 plex place where all persons are free to think and 10 speak as they wish, not as the government de-11 mands.".

(11) As evidenced in disclosures from various social media companies, Federal officials in recent years have sought to censor legal speech on platforms operated by those companies by using the power of their offices to influence what opinions, views, and other content that users of those platforms may disseminate.

(12) White House officials and officials of Executive agencies sought to silence narratives on social media platforms on issues relating to the
COVID-19 pandemic.

(13) The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention engaged with officials at Facebook and Twitter to request that certain posts be flagged as

"disinformation" and held regular meetings with
those companies to share instances of what government officials determined to be "misinformation"
about the COVID-19 pandemic that had been
spread on the platforms operated by those companies.

7 (14) In the midst of the 2020 election cycle, the
8 Federal Bureau of Investigation communicated with
9 high-level technology company executives and sug10 gested that a New York Post story regarding the
11 contents of Hunter Biden's laptop were part of a
12 "hack and leak" operation.

13 (15) On April 27, 2022, the Department of 14 Homeland Security announced the creation of a 15 Disinformation Governance Board (referred to in 16 this paragraph as the "Board"). The Director of the 17 Board, Nina Jankowicz, sought to establish an 18 "analytic exchange" with "industry partners". In 19 congressional testimony, Secretary of Homeland Se-20 curity Alejandro Mayorkas provided misleading testi-21 mony about the actions of the Board.

(16) Since 2020, 2 nonprofit organizations affiliated with the Global Disinformation Index (referred to in this paragraph as "GDI") have received
a total of \$330,000 in grants from Federal agencies.

1	GDI maintains a list of "global news publications
2	rated high risk for disinformation". Major adver-
3	tising companies seek guidance from this purported
4	"nonpartisan" group to determine where advertising
5	money should be spent. Despite the self-proclaimed
6	"nonpartisan" nature of the list, GDI includes a
7	host of reputable media outlets, such as Reason,
8	RealClearPolitics, and the New York Post.
9	SEC. 4. EMPLOYEE PROHIBITIONS.
10	(a) PROHIBITIONS.—
11	(1) IN GENERAL.—An employee acting under
12	official authority or influence may not—
13	(A) use any form of communication (with-
13 14	(A) use any form of communication (with- out regard to whether the communication is
14	out regard to whether the communication is
14 15	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co-
14 15 16	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take,
14 15 16 17	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take, suggest or imply that a provider should take, or
14 15 16 17 18	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take, suggest or imply that a provider should take, or request that a provider take any action to cen-
14 15 16 17 18 19	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take, suggest or imply that a provider should take, or request that a provider take any action to cen- sor speech that is protected by the Constitution
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take, suggest or imply that a provider should take, or request that a provider take any action to cen- sor speech that is protected by the Constitution of the United States, including by—
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	out regard to whether the communication is visible to members of the public) to direct, co- erce, compel, or encourage a provider to take, suggest or imply that a provider should take, or request that a provider take any action to cen- sor speech that is protected by the Constitution of the United States, including by— (i) removing that speech from the ap-

1	(iii) removing or suspending a par-
2	ticular user (or a class of users) from the
3	applicable covered platform or otherwise
4	limiting the access of a particular user (or
5	a class of users) to the covered platform;
6	(iv) labeling that speech as
7	disinformation, misinformation, or false, or
8	by making any similar characterization
9	with respect to the speech; or
10	(v) otherwise blocking, banning, delet-
11	ing, deprioritizing, demonetizing,
12	deboosting, limiting the reach of, or re-
13	stricting access to the speech;
14	(B) direct or encourage a provider to share
15	with an Executive agency covered information
16	containing data or information regarding a par-
17	ticular topic, or a user or group of users on the
18	applicable covered platform, including any cov-
19	ered information shared or stored by users on
20	the covered platform;
21	(C) work, directly or indirectly, with any
22	private or public entity or person to take an ac-
23	tion that is prohibited under subparagraph (A)
24	or (B); or

	11
1	(D) on behalf of the Executive agency em-
2	ploying the employee—
3	(i) enter into a partnership with a
4	provider to monitor any content dissemi-
5	nated on the applicable covered platform;
6	or
7	(ii) solicit, accept, or enter into a con-
8	tract or other agreement (including a no-
9	cost agreement) for free advertising or an-
10	other promotion on a covered platform.
11	(2) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subpara-
12	graph (B) of paragraph (1), the prohibition under
13	that subparagraph shall not apply with respect to an
14	action by an Executive agency or employee pursuant
15	to a warrant that is issued by—
16	(A) a court of the United States of com-
17	petent jurisdiction in accordance with the proce-
18	dures described in rule 41 of the Federal Rules
19	of Criminal Procedure; or
20	(B) a State court of competent jurisdic-
21	tion.
22	(3) Employee discipline.—
23	(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any
24	provision of title 5, United States Code, and
25	subject to subparagraph (B), the head of an

1	Executive agency employing an employee who
2	violates any provision of paragraph (1) (or, in
3	the case of the head of an Executive agency
4	who violates any provision of paragraph (1), the
5	President) shall impose on that employee—
6	(i) disciplinary action consisting of re-
7	moval, reduction in grade, suspension, or
8	debarment from employment with the
9	United States;
10	(ii) a civil penalty in an amount that
11	is not less than \$10,000;
12	(iii) ineligibility for any annuity under
13	chapter 83 or 84 of title 5, United States
14	Code; and
15	(iv) permanent revocation of any ap-
16	plicable security clearance held by the em-
17	ployee.
18	(B) Specific contractor discipline.—
19	In the case of an employee described in section
20	2(4)(A)(ii)(I) who violates any provision of
21	paragraph (1), in addition to any discipline that
22	may be applicable under subparagraph (A) of
23	this paragraph, that employee shall be barred
24	from working under any contract with the Fed-
25	eral Government.

	-
1	(b) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—
2	(1) IN GENERAL.—A person, the account, con-
3	tent, speech, or other information of which has been
4	affected in violation of this section, may bring a civil
5	action in the United States District Court for the
6	District of Columbia for reasonable attorneys' fees,
7	injunctive relief, and actual damages against—
8	(A) the applicable Executive agency; and
9	(B) the employee of the applicable Execu-
10	tive agency who committed the violation.
11	(2) Presumption of Liability.—In a civil ac-
12	tion brought under paragraph (1) , there shall be a
13	rebuttable presumption against the applicable Exec-
14	utive agency or employee if the person bringing the
15	action demonstrates that the applicable employee
16	communicated with a provider on a matter relating
17	to—
18	(A) covered information with respect to
19	that person; or
20	(B) a statement made by that person on
21	the applicable covered platform.
22	SEC. 5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.
23	(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
24	date of enactment of this Act, and not less frequently than
25	once every 90 days thereafter, the head of each Executive

14

agency shall submit to the Director and the chair and 1 2 ranking member of the Committee on Homeland Security 3 and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee 4 on the Judiciary of the Senate, the Committee on Over-5 sight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House 6 7 of Representatives a report that discloses, for the period 8 covered by the report, each communication between a rep-9 resentative of a provider and an employee of that Execu-10 tive agency—

(1) including any such communication that constitutes a violation of section 4(a)(1); and

(2) not including any such communication that
relates to combating child pornography or exploitation, human trafficking, or the illegal transporting
or transacting in controlled substances.

17 (b) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted under sub18 section (a) shall include, with respect to a communication
19 described in that subsection—

20 (1) the name and professional title of each em21 ployee and each representative of a provider engaged
22 in the communication; and

23 (2) if the communication constitutes a violation
24 of section 4(a)(1)—

	10
1	(A) a detailed explanation of the nature of
2	the violation; and
3	(B) the date of the violation.
4	(c) Publication.—
5	(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 5 days after
6	the date on which the Director receives a report
7	under subsection (a), the Director shall—
8	(A) collect the report and assign the report
9	a unique tracking number; and
10	(B) publish on a publicly accessible and
11	searchable website the contents of the report
12	and the tracking number for the report.
13	(2) SUBJECT OF REPORT.—With respect to a
14	report submitted pursuant to subsection (a) of which
15	an individual is a subject, not later than the end of
16	the business day following the business day on which
17	the report is submitted, the Director shall make a
18	reasonable effort to contact any person or entity di-
19	rectly affected by a violation of this Act described in
20	the report to inform that person of the report.
21	SEC. 6. CYBERSECURITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND SECURITY
22	AGENCY REPORT.
23	Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment
24	of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall sub-
25	mit to the Director and the chair and ranking member

16

of the Committee on Homeland Security and Govern mental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Over sight and Government Reform of the House of Represent atives a report that discloses any action of an employee
 of the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency
 that—

7 (1) occurred between November 16, 2018, and
8 the date of enactment of this Act; and

9 (2) would have been in violation of section
10 4(a)(1).

11 SEC. 7. TERMINATION OF DISINFORMATION GOVERNANCE 12 BOARD.

(a) TERMINATION.—The Disinformation Governance
Board established by the Department of Homeland Security, if in existence on the date of enactment of this Act,
is terminated.

(b) PROHIBITION AGAINST FEDERAL FUNDING.—No
Federal funds may be used to establish or support the activities of any other entity that is substantially similar to
the Disinformation Governance Board terminated pursuant to subsection (a).

1 SEC.8.PROHIBITIONONMISINFORMATIONAND2DISINFORMATION GRANTS.

3 The head of an Executive agency may not award a 4 grant relating to programming on misinformation or 5 disinformation.

6 SEC. 9. GRANT TERMS.

7 (a) CERTIFICATION.—The recipient of a grant award-8 ed by an Executive agency on or after the date of enact-9 ment of this Act shall certify to the head of the Executive 10 agency that the recipient or a subgrantee of the recipient, 11 during the term of the grant, will not designate any cre-12 ator of news content, regardless of medium, as a source 13 of misinformation or disinformation.

(b) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after the
date on which an Executive agency awards a grant, the
head of the Executive agency shall publish the certification
received under subsection (a) with respect to the grant on
Grants.gov, or any successor website.

(c) PENALTY.—Upon a determination by the head of
an Executive agency that a recipient or subgrantee of a
recipient has violated the certification of the recipient
under subsection (a), the recipient or subgrantee, respectively, shall—

24 (1) repay the grant associated with the certifi-25 cation; and

1 (2) be ineligible to receive a grant from the Ex-2 ecutive agency. 3 SEC. 10. PRESIDENTIAL WAR POWERS UNDER THE COMMU-4 NICATIONS ACT OF 1934. 5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 706 of the Communica-6 tions Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 606) is amended— 7 (1) by striking subsections (c) through (g); and 8 (2) by redesignating subsection (h) as sub-9 section (c). 10 (b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.— 11 Section 309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 12 U.S.C. 309(h)) is amended— 13 (1) by inserting "and" before "(2)"; and 14 (2) by striking "Act;" and all that follows 15 through the period at the end and inserting the fol-16 lowing: "Act.". 17 SEC. 11. APPLICABILITY OF FOIA. 18 (a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "agency" 19 has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, 20 United States Code. 21 (b) APPLICABILITY.—Notwithstanding any provision 22 of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, any request 23 made to an agency pursuant to that section for records 24 relating to communication between an employee and a rep-25 resentative of a provider—

(1) shall be granted by the agency without re-1 2 gard to any exemption under subsection (b) of that 3 section, except the agency may not release any iden-4 tifying information of a user of a covered platform 5 without express written consent granted by the user 6 to the agency; and 7 (2) may not be granted by the agency if the 8 communication occurred pursuant to a warrant de-

9 scribed in section 4(a)(2).